

1 SWEENEY, DAVIDIAN, & GREENE LLP
James F. Sweeney (SBN 124527)
2 Ben Davidian (SBN 94965)
Stephen J. Greene, Jr. (SBN 178098)
3 Stephen R. McCutcheon, Jr. (SBN 191749)
8001 Folsom Boulevard, Ste. 101
4 Sacramento, CA 95826
Telephone: (916) 388-5170
5 Facsimile: (916) 388-0357

6 Attorneys for Real Parties In Interest

7
8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

11 PAUL BERG, Ph.D; ROBERT N. KLEIN; and)
LARRY GOLDSTEIN)

12 Petitioners,)

13 v.)

14 KEVIN SHELLEY, Secretary of State of)
15 California,)

16 Respondent.)

17 GEOFF BRANDT, State Printer; TOM)
18 MCCLINTOCK; H. REX GREENE; JOHN M.)
19 MORLACH; JUDY NORSIGIAN; FRANCINE)
COETAUX; TINA STEVENS; DOES I through)
X, inclusive;)

20 Real Parties in Interest)
21)

Case No. 04CS01015

**DECLARATION OF DR. STUART A.
NEWMAN, PH.D. IN OPPOSITION TO
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE
AND ALTERNATIVE WRIT OF
MANDATE/ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Hearing Date: August 4, 2004

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept.: 11

Judge: Hon. Gail Ohanesian

22
23 I, STUART A. NEWMAN, depose, declare, and state as follows:

24 1. I am a member of the faculty in the Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy at
25 the New York Medical College in Valhalla, New York. I am not party to the instant proceeding.

26 If called as a witness, I could competently testify to the following from own personal knowledge.

27 2. I received an A.B. from Columbia University and a Ph.D. in chemistry from the
28 University of Chicago. I have been a visiting professor at the Pasteur Institute, Paris, the Centre à

1 l'Energie Atomique-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, the
2 University of Tokyo, and was a Fogarty Senior International Fellow at Monash University,
3 Australia. I am a founding member of the Council for Responsible Genetics, Cambridge, MA and
4 am currently a fellow of the Institute on Biotechnology and the Human Future at the Illinois
5 Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL. I have worked for three decades on the stem cells that
6 specify the skeletal and connective tissues of the vertebrate limb. I have published more than two
7 dozen research articles and reviews on this subject. My current research interests center around
8 three program areas: cellular and molecular mechanisms of vertebrate limb development, physical
9 mechanisms of morphogenesis, and mechanisms of morphological evolution. I have contributed to
10 several additional scientific fields, including protein folding and assembly of tissue matrices. I
11 have also written on the social and cultural aspects of biological research and technology.

12 3. Until Stanford University decided in the last year to stop using the terms “embryo
13 cloning” and “cloned embryos” to describe the technique of producing human embryos by nuclear
14 transfer and the products of this technique, these were the terms used virtually exclusively by
15 scientists for these items.

16 4. The term “cloned embryos” is still the term of art in this field of research for the
17 products of nuclear transfer. A Medline search using this phrase turned up 42 uses of this term in
18 article titles or abstracts during 2003-2004. In 2003, Ian Wilmut, the first scientist to clone a
19 mammal, published an editorial in the journal *Cloning and Stem Cells* titled “Human Cells from
20 Cloned Embryos in Research and Therapy.”

21 5. The assertion that the viable product of nuclear transfer is not an embryo is
22 equivalent to the assertion that organisms that develop from these products, such as Dolly the
23 sheep, are not animals.

24 6. Cloned mammalian embryos, the products of nuclear transfer, if permitted to
25 develop to full term, are very likely to give rise to biologically abnormal or very sick individuals.
26 This has been used by some to suggest that these entities are not genuine embryos. Following this
27 line of argument leads to the proposition that human fetuses affected by Tay Sachs disease or
28

1 Down Syndrome are not genuine human fetuses, or the children they give rise to are not genuine
2 human beings.

3 7. Whether or not a scientist or physician intends to implant a cluster of cells does
4 not determine whether or not it is an embryo. If it is a cluster of liver cells, for example, the
5 intention to implant it does not make it an embryo. Correspondingly, if it is a blastocyst capable of
6 giving rise to embryo stem cells, the lack of intention to implant it does not cause it not to be an
7 embryo.

8 8. To believe that the material nature of a biological entity changes depending on the
9 intention of the investigator is an example of magical thinking, which is antithetical to modern
10 science.

11 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my
12 knowledge.

13 Executed this 2nd day of August, 2004 at Valhalla, New York.

14
15
16
17 _____
18 STUART A. NEWMAN
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SWEENEY, DAVIDIAN & GREENELL P
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
8001 FOLSOM BOULEVARD, SUITE 101
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95826